



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,497	10/07/2004	Shunji Hayashi	Q84102	1554
65565	7590	11/18/2008		
SUGHRUE-265550			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			BADR, HAMID R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		11/18/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/510,497	Applicant(s) HAYASHI ET AL.
	Examiner HAMID R. BADR	Art Unit 1794

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HAMID R. BADR. (3) _____.

(2) Miss Yan Lan. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Office Action summary mailed on 10/01/2008 had the objection to specification box checked. However, the Action contained an objection to claims. Miss Lan called to clarify the issue. The examiner agreed that it was meant to be a claim objection and not an objection to the specification.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Callie E. Shosh/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794